

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-26-0073-PR

15 UP-VW v LUNA et al

Appellate Case Information

Case Filed: 10-Mar-2026
Case Closed:

Dept/Composition

Side 1. 15 UP-VW ENTERPRISES, LLC, Plaintiff/Appellee

(Litigant Group) 15 UP-VW ENTERPRISES, LLC

- 15 Up-VW Enterprises LLC

Attorneys for: Plaintiff/Appellee

Douglas N Nelson, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 16678)
Trevor J Fish, Esq. (AZ Bar No. 23206)

Side 2. JULIAN YANEZ LUNA, et al., Defendant/Appellant

(Litigant Group) JULIAN YANEZ LUNA, et al.

- Julian Yanez Luna PRO SE
- Sofia Cardoza Molina PRO SE

CASE STATUS

Mar 10, 2026....Pending

PREDECESSOR CASE (S)	Cause/Charge/Class	Judgment/Sentence	Judge, Role <Comments>	Trial	Dispo
1 CA 1 CA-CV 25-0266					
↔ MAR CV2022-006206	Real Property		Christopher Whitten, Authoring Judge of Order Comments: (none) Comments: (none)		
↔ MAR CV2023-092213					

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

- 10-Mar-2026 FILED: Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review (Appellants Luna, et al., Pro Se)
- 11-Mar-2026 Appellants Luna, et al., Pro Se filed a "Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review" on March 10, 2026. Under Arizona Rules of Civil Appellate Procedure, Rule 6(b), a motion for a procedural order must include a statement by the moving party of whether the other parties consent to, or object to, the entry of the order that is sought; or why the moving party was unable to contact the other parties before filing the motion. Further, the caption of a motion for procedural order must include the words, "Motion for Procedural Order." The motion is non-compliant in these respects. Accordingly,

IT IS ORDERED the motion is denied without prejudice to Appellants Luna, et al. ability to file a motion in compliance with ARCAP 6(b). This matter is subject to dismissal if a compliant motion or petition for review is not filed by March 18, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
- 18-Mar-2026 FILED: Motion for Procedural Order (Extension of Time to File) (Appellants Luna, et al., Pro Se)
- 20-Mar-2026 A "Motion for Procedural Order (Extension of Time to File)" (Appellants Luna, et al., Pro Se) having been filed on March 18, 2026,

IT IS ORDERED granting an extension of time to file the petition for review to no later than April 13, 2026. No further extensions of time will be granted absent extraordinary circumstances. This matter is subject to dismissal if the petition for review is not filed by April 13, 2026. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
- 13-Apr-2026 FILED: Petition for Review (Appellants Luna, et al., Pro Se)
- 15-Apr-2026 FILED: Record from CofA: Electronic Record
- 16-Apr-2026 RECEIPT No.: 2026-00122 ; \$330.00 Applied to: JULIAN YANEZ LUNA, et al. - Class A Filing Fee (\$330.00) Paid for: JULIAN YANEZ LUNA, et al. - By nCourt LLC Portal (Memo: 22D72066-24DC-4A18-81E1-CE69D632C85B)
- 21-Apr-2026 FILED: Motion For Rehearing/Reconsideration (Appellants Luna, et al., Pro Se)

Arizona Supreme Court
Civil Petition for Review - Appeal

CV-26-0073-PR

15 UP-VW v LUNA et al

10 PROCEEDING ENTRIES

9. 23-Apr-2026 Appellants Luna, et al., Pro Se filed a "Motion For Rehearing/Reconsideration" on April 21, 2026 in the above captioned matter, deemed a non-substantive uncontested procedural motion related to the processing of the case. Appellants stated the Court denied Appellants' petition on April 9, 2026 after granting a motion for extension of time to file a petition for review in this matter.
- This Court denied granting review of a petition for review on April 9, 2026 in case number CV-25-0353-PR (Luna et al. v. 15 UP-VW) arising from Court of Appeals, Division One case number 1 CA-SA 25-0346. Accordingly,
- IT IS ORDERED denying the motion as moot. The petition for review in CV-26-0073-PR will be considered in due course. (Aaron C. Nash, Clerk)
10. 18-May-2026 FILED: Appellee's Notice In Lieu Of Response Brief; Certificate of Service (Appellee 15 UP-VW)